Route 29

Updated: May 2016

Ephraim via Orangeville Junction to Castle Dale Junction. 11-22-1915

1953 Description:

From Ephraim on Route 11 easterly via Orangeville Junction to Castle Dale Junction on Route 10. This route was approved by the 1963 Legislature.

**(*(A) Scanned)

1965 Legislature:

**(*(B) Scanned)

1967 Legislature:

1969 Legislature:

12.110 miles from the Emery County line to Joe's Valley Reservoir withdrawn as a part of SR-29 by the 1969 Legislature.

1969 Description:

From Joe's Valley Reservoir easterly via Orangeville Junction to SR-10.

1975 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1977 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1979 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1983 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1985 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1986 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1987 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1988 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1990 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1992 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1993 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1994 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1995 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1996 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1997 Legislature:	Description remains the same.

1998 Legislative Description:

From Joes Valley Reservoir easterly through Orangeville Junction to Route 10 north of Castle Dale.

1999 Legislature:	Description remains	the	same.
2000 Legislature:	Description remains	the	same.
2001 Legislature:	Description remains	the	same.
2002 Legislature:	Description remains	the	same.
2003 Legislature:	Description remains	the	same.
2004 Legislature:	Description remains	the	same.

Route 29 Cont.

2005 Legislature: Description remains the same.
2006 Legislature: Description remains the same.
2007 Legislature: Description remains the same.
2008 Legislature: Description remains the same.
2011 Legislature: Description remains the same.
2016 Legislation: Description remains the same.

^{*} Refers to resolution index page following.

^{**}Refers to Scanned Computer Resolution index on the following page.

Route 29

COUNTY/VOLUME & RESOLUTION NO.

A. Emery Co. 1/67 **B**. Emery Co. 1/111

DESCRIPTION OF RESOLUTION CHANGE

(A). Realignment/New Alignment - From Orangeville and Orangeville Junction.

(B). Relocation/New Alignment - From Sanpete County Line easterly in Emery

County.

State Route 29 - New Location Due To Reconstruction On New Alignment

WHEREAS, with the completion of Project S-0335 (1) has resulted in the realignment of the section of State Route 29 between Orangeville and Orangeville Junction in Emery County.


It has been recommended by Mr. Joseph Q. Adair, District Engineer, that State Route 29 be revised as an interim designation subject to the approval of the Legislature, to follow the alignment on new location between Engineer Stations 88 + 84.05 and 125 + 14. This action will result in a decrease of 0.2*- mile in the State Route System.

NOW, THEREFORE, the State Road Commission, after considering the afore mentioned route change, adopts the following road revision as an interim designation subject to the approval of the Legislature:

> Route 29 be transferred to follow the alignment on new location that portion of roadway between Engineer Stations 88 + 84.05 and 125 + 14, and the old alignment between stations be transferred to the jurisdiction of Emery County.

Dated: March 29, 1963

STATE ROAD COMMISSION OF UTAH



Office Memorandum . UTAH STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

TO : Dale Burningham, Deputy Research Sec. Engr. DATE: Feb. 14, 1963

FROM : J. Q. Adair, Dist. Engr.

1963 FEB 15 AM 11 17

SUBJECT: S-0335(1) Orangeville to Orangeville Junction

When the construction of the above named project was made in 1962, the road was re-located North of the old highway between Engineer's Stations 88+84.05 and 125+14 PT. The old highway should revert to the County for maintenance purposes.

Would you take the proper steps in having this business taken care of so the County will assume their responsibilities for this section of highway.

Resolution Prepared 2/18/63

JQA:sj

cc: J. E. Johnston

FEB 15 1963

Interim Designations and Deletions - Federal-Aid Highways Authority: Sec. 27-12-27, UCA, 1953, as Amended

RESOLUTION T

State Routes 2, 6, 8, 15, 24, 26, 29, 44, 54, 123, 155, 236, 259

WHEREAS, with the completion of various projects resulting in the reconstruction of new roadway on new alignment and

WHEREAS, portions of the old alignment will no longer serve as roadways but nevertheless other sections will still serve as public roads, though not justified as part of the State Highway System and

WHEREAS, a physical inventory was made of all roadways concerned in this resloution and

WHEREAS, all county officials concerned were contacted and their letters of concurrence in our recommendations are forthcoming and

WHEREAS, it has been recommended by the District Engineers concerned.

NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to Authority 12-27-12, UCA, 1953, AS AMENDED,
it is hereby resolved as follows:

1. Route 2 - Summit County, Project I-80-4(8)190, west of Wyoming line, a distance of 4.373 miles built on new location. Three sections of the old roadway are no longer within the N/A line and are of no further use as public roadway, therefore all portions of roadway on old alignment are abandoned, a distance of 4.200 miles, resulting in an increase of 0.173 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 6 - Uintah County, Project F-015-3(4) west of Vernal, a distance of 2.055 miles built on new location. All portions of old alignment have either been obliterated or barricaded by barriers, therefore, all portions of the old alignment are abandoned, a distance of 2.405 miles, resulting in a decrease of 0.350 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 8 - Emery County, Projects F-028-3(5) and F-028-3(6) south of

111

Carbon County line, a total distance of 14.712 miles built on new location. All portions of the old alignment have either been obliterated, including removal of some structures, or barricaded, with the exception of that portion of old alignment from a connection with the new alignment south of Price River northerly to Woodside, a distance of 0.7 + - mile. Therefore, all portions of the old alignment are being abandoned, a distance of 14.299 miles, with the exception of that portion that is being used as a public road from a connection with the new alignment south of Price River to Woodside which is transferred to the jurisdiction of Emery County, resulting in an increase of 0.7 + - mile in Emery County "B" mileage and a decrease of 0.287 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 15 - Kane County, Projects F-014-1(2) and FLH-37-(1) east of Zion

Park Boundary, a distance of 2.809 built on new location. All portions of the old

alignment have been closed to the public with the exception of that portion of the

old alignment from a connection with the new alignment northeasterly to a mine road,

a distance of 0.400 mile. Therefore, all portions of the old alignment are aban
doned, a distance of 3.942 miles, with the exception of that portion being used

as a connecting roadway to the mine road which is transferred to the jurisdiction

of Kane County, resulting in an increase of 0.4 + - mile in Kane County "B" mileage

and a decrease of 1.533 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 24 - Wayne County, Projects NS-371(1) and S-0371(5) east of Capitol Reef Monument, a distance of 14.484 miles built on new location. From Engineer Station 851 + - to 652 + - transferred to the jurisdiction of Wayne County, a distance of 3.600 miles, all remaining portions of the old alignment have been obliterated and, therefore, are abandoned, a distance of 11.646 miles, resulting in an increase of 3.6 + - miles in Wayne County "B" mileage and a decrease of 0.762 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 26 - Juab County, Project F-029-3(2) from a junction with State Route 148 southwesterly, a distance of 6.615 miles built on new location. From

Engineer Station 1201 + - to 1115 + - the old alignment has been obliterated and, therefore, is abandoned, a distance of 1.949 mile. From Engineer Station 1115 + - to 85 + - to be transferred to the jurisdiction of Juab County, a distance of 5.030 miles, resulting in an increase of 5.0 + - miles in Juab County "B" mileage and a decrease of 0.364 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 29 - Emery County, Project NR-29-1 Sanpete County line easterly, a distance of 5.908 miles built on new location. All portions of old roadway abandoned, a distance of 1.900 mile, as it will be inundated as a result of the construction of Joes Valley Reservoir, resulting in an increase of 4.008 miles in the State System of Highways.

Route 44 - Daggett County, Project S-0192(1) south of Manila, a distance of 2.186 miles built on new location. From Engineer Station 2145 + - to 2069 + - will serve as a public roadway and, therefore, is transferred to the jurisdiction of Daggett County, a distance of 2.400 miles, resulting in an increase of 2.4 + - miles in Daggett County "B" mileage and a decrease of 0.712 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 54 - Garfield County, Project S-0392(5) near Escalante, a distance of 3.818 miles built on new location. From Engineer Station 226 + - to 111 + - to be abandoned as connections to this section of old alignment have been obliterated, a distance of 2.727 miles. From Engineer Station 111 + - to 44 + - to be transferred to the jurisdiction of Garfield County, a distance of 1.046 mile and from Engineer Station 44 + - 25 + - be transferred to the jurisdiction of Escalante City, a distance of 0.629 mile, resulting in an increase of 0.6 + - mile in the Escalante City "C" mileage, an increase of 1.0 + - mile in Garfield County "B" mileage and a decrease of 0.584 mile in the State System of Highways.

of 0.625 mile built on new location. All portions of old alignment will still serve as a public road, a distance of 0.691 mile and, therefore, will be transferred to

The construction on new location, transfers and abandonments indicated in the accompanying resolution resulted in a total of 64.816 miles being built on new location, 0.629 mile transferred to City "C" mileage, 18.767 miles transferred to County "B" mileage and 46.095 miles abandoned, resulting in a decrease of 0.675 mile in the State System of Highways.

the jurisdiction of Carbon County, resulting in an increase of 0.7 + - mile in Carbon County "B" mileage and a decrease of 0.066 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 155 and 236 - Project NS-338(1) 1.8 mile northeast of Huntington, a distance of 0.492 mile built on new location. All portions of old alignment abandoned as they will be inundated by the construction of Huntington Reservoir, a distance of 0.47 mile, resulting in an increase of 0.017 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 259 - Kane County, Project F-035-1(4) Kanab easterly, a distance of 6.739 miles built on new location. From Engineer Station 98 + - to 266 + - and commencing again at Station 295 + - to 383 + - to be transferred to the jurisdiction of Kane County, a distance of 4.900 miles, as they will still serve as a public roadway, all remaining portions of the old alignment have been made inacessable, a distance of 2.054 miles, therefore, are abandoned, resulting in an increase of 4.9 + - miles in Kane County "B" mileage and a decrease of 0.215 mile in the State System of Highways.

		2.	That	the	maps	at	tac	ched	her	ewith	illustrating	the	action	taken	here-
with	is	hereby	inco	orpo	rated	as	a	part	of	this	submission.				

Dated this _____ 19th ____ day of _____ April _____, 1965.

STATE ROAD COMMISSION OF UTAH

Chairman

Commissioner

Eliasterone

Commissioner

acting Secretary Brossard

Office Memorandum . UTAH STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

. Mr. Dale B. Burningham

DATE: January 26, 1965

Chief Research Engineer, Research Section RECEIVED

FROM : Mr. W. E. Mickelson

District Engineer

1965 JAN 28 AM 10 33

SUBJECT: Status of Old Roads

I-80-4(8)190 Wahsatch to the Wyoming State Librah STATE F-001-8(2) Bear River Bridge East of Corinne OF HIGHWAYS

The status of the old abandoned roads on the subject projects have been reviewed and following is my recommendation:

- F-001-8(2) The old road should remain as it is at the present time. It lies on right-of-way that we have by agreement from the railroad. In addition, the old road and bridge are intended to be used for a stock trail for crossing the Bear River.
- 1-80-4(8)190 Three sections of the old road are no longer within the N/A lines, as itemized below, and are of no further use to us for roadway purposes. These three sections should revert to private ownership.

820 + - 836 + right side

958 + - 971 + right side

984 + - 991 + left side

Office Memorandum . UTAH STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

TO : B. Dale Burningham, Chief Research Engr. DATE: January 25, 1965 TOTAL

FROM : J. Q. Adair, Dist. Engr.

SUBJECT: Road Deletions

1965 JAN 26 AM 10

DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS


We have listed the following projects that have been constructed in the last couple of years and sections of road that should be deleted from our system:

S-0294(1) Dragerton (Culvert & Approaches) F-028-3(6) Woodside Northerly NR-29(1) 7 Miles W. of Orangeville (Joe's Valley) NS-338(1) Huntington Northerly - Mohrland Connection NR-24-2(1) Huntington North on SR-10

The NR-24-2(1) Huntington North Section has bypassed the location where the Huntington Reservoir is being constructed by the Bureau of Reclamation. A portion of this right-of-way has probably been acquired by the Bureau of Reclamation people.

JQA:sj

Auguster .	Payort she	when	Road Souther	Man Langton	miles Trans	miks trans	Pombs	Taket miles	
	I-15-4 (8) 190	Summy +	22-2-4	4.373			4,200	4.200	
6	F-015-3 (4)	6.20	20-6 2	2000			2400	21105	
	T-128-3 (5)	Homery	8-8-1	2/20			6.087	2087	
60	F028-3 (6)	4	8-8-1	8592		0.700	82/2	8168	
15 /	F-014-1(2)	Kane	13-15-2	2305		0 400	2927	3327	
	FLH-37W	a	13-15-2	1504			1.015	1.015	
	NS-371 (1)	Wayne .	28-24-4	5623		3600	24/2	6.012	
	5.0371(5)	N	28.24-4	8.861			9234	9,234	
	F-029-3(2)	Tust	12.26-1	6615		5030	1.949	6979	
29 4	NR-221	Times	8.29-1	3908			1900	1900	
344	S-0192 (1)	Desset	5-44-2	2/8%		2000	0 490	2898	
54 5	5-0392 (5)	Gerfield	9.54-2	3818	0.629	2.046	2 727	4 400	
52	S DROY (1) Relication	Carlon	4.123.1	0625		0.691		1690	
(Our to Project No. NS-33B(1) Emeny	38(1) Emery	8:155-1	No Construction			0.250	0200	
236 1	NS-338(1)	4	8-8-8-1	1492			1225	0 225	
9	F D35-1(4)	Kana	13-259-1	6739		4900	2054	6.954	
				69.816	0.629	18767	46,095		





Kane County Clerk

KANAB, UTAH

March 12, 1965

Utah State Department of Highways Transportation - Research Section State Office Building Salt Lake City, Utah

> Re: Kane County Nos: F-014-1 (2) FLH 37 (1)

Gentlemen:

Please be advised that the Kane County Commission will be very happy to accept as additions to the Kare County Road System your projects Nos. F-014-1 (2) and FLH-37 (1) for county supervision and maintainance.

Yours very truly,

KANE COUNTY COMMISSION

Thomas H. Haycock

Kane County Clerk

